

ARKANSAS SUPREME COURT

No. CR 06-1133

NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION

MARCUS HANCOCK
Appellant

v.

STATE OF ARKANSAS
Appellee

Opinion Delivered February 15, 2007

PRO SE PETITION FOR WRIT OF
MANDAMUS [CIRCUIT COURT OF
ARKANSAS COUNTY, NORTHERN
DISTRICT, CR 2004-294, CR 2004-340,
CR 2004-346, CR 2005-105, CR 2005-
106, HON. DAVID G. HENRY, JUDGE]

PETITION TREATED AS MOTION
FOR ACCESS TO APPEAL RECORD
AND GRANTED.

PER CURIAM

Appellant Marcus Hancock has lodged an appeal in this court from an order entered in the Circuit Court of Arkansas County, Northern District, that denied his *pro se* petition for postconviction relief under Ark. R. Crim. P. 37.1. Appellant was granted an extension of forty days' time to file the appellant's brief-in-chief and now seeks a writ of mandamus compelling the circuit clerk to provide him with a copy of the record lodged in the appeal so that he may prepare the brief.¹ As the record has been lodged here, we treat the petition as a motion for access to the record.

Inasmuch as an appellant must abstract those portions of the record pertinent to the appeal, the motion is granted. Our clerk is directed to provide appellant with a copy of the record. The

¹Appellant also seeks a copy of the Informational Statement and Jurisdictional Statement to include in his brief. Because appellant is incarcerated and proceeding *pro se* on appeal, his brief is governed by Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 4-7, which does not require the appellant to submit either an Informational Statement or a Jurisdictional Statement.

appellant's brief is due here no later than thirty days from the date of this opinion. The copy of the record must be returned to this court when the brief is submitted.

Petition treated as motion for access to appeal record and granted.